



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/827,026	04/05/2001	Wayne F. Ellis	FIS9-2000-0362 US1	4853
30743	7590 09/28/2004		EXAMINER	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.			BUTLER, DENNIS	
11491 SUNS SUITE 340	SET HILLS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA 20190			2115	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/ /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	01
	09/827,026	ELLIS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dennis M. Butler	2115	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, mar- oly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. e, cause the application to becom-	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this come BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ımunication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ance except for formal m		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers		and the second of the second o	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	÷.,	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•		• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Pority documents have be Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National S	itage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Art Unit: 2115

This action is in response to the amendment received on July 14, 2004. Claims
 1-15 are pending.

2. Claims 1-4, 8 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Williams, U. S. Patent 6,714,021.

Per claims 1 and 11-12:

- A) Williams teaches the following claimed items:
- 1. a first timer performing coarse timing measurement of an electronic circuit with Coarse Timebase 1226 of figures 15 and 18 and at column 8, lines 12-29;
- a second timer performing fine timing measurement of the electronic
   circuit with Fine Timebase 1227 of figures 15 and 18 and at column 8, lines 39 54;
- 3. storage means for storing timing measurements of the first and second timers with the timing analyzer software, with the (Cn,Fn) timebase values of figure 19, at column 11, lines 5-18 and at column 11, line 53 column 12, line 18.

## Per claim 2:

Williams describes the timing analyzer as a component of a BIST system on an IC at column 8, lines 55-61 and at column 12, line 62 – column 13, line 21.

## Per claim 3:

Williams describes separately controlled delay elements with delay elements 1201 through 1215 and 1231 through 1294 of figure 15 and at column 8, lines 12-29 and 39-54.

Art Unit: 2115

Per claims 4 and 13:

Williams describes delay elements controlled by individual control words with the Coarse and Fine Timebase Select words 1219 and 1298 of figure 15 and at column 8, lines 16-23 and 40-41.

## Per claim 8:

- A) Williams teaches the following claimed items:
- 1. a first timer performing coarse timing measurement of an electronic circuit with Coarse Timebase 1226 of figures 15 and 18 and at column 8, lines 12-29;
- a second timer performing fine timing measurement of the electronic
   circuit with Fine Timebase 1227 of figures 15 and 18 and at column 8, lines 39 54;
- 3. storage means for storing timing measurements of the first and second timers with the timing analyzer software, with the (Cn,Fn) timebase values of figure 19, at column 11, lines 5-18 and at column 11, line 53 column 12, line 18;
- 4. a BIST system on an IC at column 8, lines 55-61 and at column 12, line 62 column 13, line 21.
- 3. Claims 5-7 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams, U. S. Patent 6,714,021.

Per claims 5-7 and 14-15:

Williams fails to explicitly teach using binary counters to generate the individual control words as claimed. However, Williams describes generating individual

Art Unit: 2115

control words (Coarse and Fine Timebase Select words 1219 and 1298 of figure 15) and describes incrementing the individual control words to increment the amount of delay with elements 1409 and 1414 of figure 18. Therefore, Williams discloses the claimed invention except for explicitly reciting using binary counters to generate/increment the control words. However, binary counters are well known and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use incrementing binary counters in order to increment the binary values of the control words of the coarse and fine timers in order to increment the amount of delay and increment the number of delay elements that actively delay the signal output from the fine or the coarse delay timers.

4. Claims 1 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dilger et al., U. S. Patent 6,161,420.

Per claims 1 and 11-12:

- A) Dilger et al teach the following claimed items :
- 1. a first timer performing coarse timing measurement of an electronic circuit with Counter 104 and Subtract Circuit 116 of figure 2, at column 5, lines 19-21 and 45-54 and at column 8, lines 33-55;
- a second timer performing fine timing measurement of the electronic
   circuit with Timer 134 of figure 2, at column 6, lines 32-57 and at column 10, lines
   23-36;

Art Unit: 2115

- 3. storage means for storing timing measurements of the first and second timers with the storage means in embedded controller 202 that store coarse measurement 118 and fine measurement 136, at column 7, lines 31-46 and at column 10, lines 37-42.
- 5. Claims 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The declaration filed on July 14, 2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Williams reference.

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 must be made by all of the inventors of the subject matter claimed. See MPEP 715.04.

7. Applicant's arguments filed on July 14, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the Remarks, applicant has argued in substance that: the applied references described significantly different subject matter from the subject invention

- A. The applied references described significantly different subject matter from the subject invention because the subject invention uses course and fine timing adjustments to test an integrated circuit and determines precise measurements of the characteristics of the IC.
- B. The examiner is equating delay elements of Williams with the control word of the subject invention.

Art Unit: 2115

- C. Dilger measures frequency while the subject invention does not measure any frequencies.
- D. Dilger cannot be adapted to measure the timing margin of an integrated circuit.
- 8. As to point A, independent claims 1 and 11 recite testing electronic circuits, not integrated circuits. In addition, there is no mention of precise measurements in these claims. The examiner is not required to reject applicant's detailed specification. The applied references teach the invention to the extent claimed. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., integrated circuits and precise measurements) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

As to point B, the examiner did not equate the delay elements of Williams with the control word of the subject invention as stated by applicant. The rejection on record in applicant's file clearly indicates that the examiner equated coarse and fine timebase select words 1219 and 1298 with the control words. See paragraph 6 of the previous office action.

As to points C and D, independent claims 1, 8 and 11 recite performing timing measurements. The claims do not recite the performance of any specific type of timing measurements such as the timing margin of an integrated circuit and they do not exclude the performance of any specific type of timing measurements such as

Art Unit: 2115

frequency measurements. Dilger clearly teaches that it is known use coarse and fine timers are for measuring and recording frequency values. Dilger teaches the invention recited in claims 1, 11 and 12 to the extent claimed. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., specific types of timing measurements such as the timing margin of an integrated circuit) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis M. Butler whose telephone number is 703-305-

Art Unit: 2115

9663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

> Dennis M. Butler Dennis M. Butler **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2115